



Assessor's name: _____ *Total score = _____ X2 = _____ / 100

Title of Project : _____

Suggestions for improvements:	Score (write score value to be awarded in the spaces provided)		
1. Objectives and Hypotheses (Score = _____)	Poor (1)	Fair (2-3)	Good (4-5)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gives a brief introduction to research topic (rationale, background etc.). • Purposes/Objectives of the experiment are clearly identified and stated. • Hypothesis(es) are testable and achievable. 			
2. Materials and methods (Score X 2 = _____)	Poor (1-4)	Fair (5-7)	Good (8-10)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Materials are clearly and accurately described. • Control (if relevant) is stated and explained for its relevance. • Independent, dependent and controlled variables are clearly stated. • Steps listed are clear and easy to follow. • Protocol described with appropriate details. • No major flaw in experimental design. • Experiment design shows sufficient depth and rigour. 			
3. Data analysis (Score X 1.5 = _____)	Poor (1-4)	Fair (5-7)	Good (8-10)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sufficient and relevant data are collected to support interpretation and conclusions. • Data is presented in an appropriate format (eg. charts, graphs, photos, tables). • Valid conclusion is inferred from data. • Takes into account any limitations imposed by method in the protocol. • Brief discussion of results is done. 			
4. Presentation (Score = _____)	Poor (1)	Fair (2-3)	Good (4-5)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Content is well organised. • Speak clearly and confidently in standard English all the time. • Able to hold judges attention and sustain/provoke audience's interest. • All members who are present participate in the oral presentation. 			
5. Response (Score = _____)	Poor (1)	Fair (2-3)	Good (4-5)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempts to answer all queries confidently. • Well thought out and elaborated answers. 			