

HWA CHONG INSTITUTION

PROJECTS COMPETITION 2014

CATEGORY 4: RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT

JUDGING FORM – SEMI-FINALS

Project Group No.: _____

Title of Project : _____

THE PROJECT [40 marks]				
CRITERIA	Approaching Expectation	Meeting Expectation	Exceeding Expectation	Mk
Objectives	Objectives are not clearly defined; they are irrelevant and fail to align with the topic. [1]	Objectives are clearly defined, relevant and focused. [2]	Objectives are very clearly defined, relevant and focused. [3]	
Target Audience	Target audience has not been identified. [0]	Target audience has not been appropriately identified. [1]	Target audience has been appropriately identified. [2]	
Research	Readings and reviews are done in a skimpy manner. [1]	Some appropriate readings and reviews have been done to affirm feasibility of project and provide information for creation of resources. [2] [3]	Very appropriate readings and reviews have been done to affirm feasibility of project and provide information for creation of resources. [4] [5]	
Research Etiquette	Proper procedures are not observed in research; appropriate references and acknowledgement are not indicated.	Some proper procedures are observed in research; some appropriate references and acknowledgement are indicated, though not always done correctly.	Proper procedures are observed in research with appropriate references and acknowledgement clearly and correctly indicated.	
For T&L packages	<i>Content has not been endorsed by relevant subject teacher.</i> [1]	<i>Content has been endorsed by relevant subject teacher.</i> [2] [3]	<i>Content has been endorsed by relevant subject teacher.</i> [4] [5]	
Effort	Less than 80% of project has been completed.	80% of project has been completed but some improvement is needed.	80% of project has been completed with minimal improvement needed.	
For T&L packages	<i>No video clip included</i> [1]	<i>Video clip is included but improvement is needed</i> [2] [3]	<i>Video clip is included and is of a very good standard</i> [4] [5]	

Creativity	Resource package ideas do not show originality of thought; ideas are not creative. [1]	Resource package ideas show some originality of thought; ideas are fairly creative. [2] [3]	Resource package ideas show originality of thought; ideas are creative. [4] [5]	
Usefulness	No pilot test has been carried out - unable to confirm that resource package is useful for target users. [1]	Pilot test has been carried out – able to confirm that resource package is somewhat useful for target users. [3] [5]	Pilot test has been carried out – able to confirm that resource package is very useful for target users. [7] [10]	
Methodology (for development of resources)	Methodology has not been planned appropriately to reflect timeline and relevant methods; methods used are simple cut-and-paste or repackaging of what is already available. [1]	Good and appropriate methodology has been planned to reflect timeline and relevant methods; methods used include a variety of techniques to create and present resource package. [2] [3]	Excellent and appropriate research methodology has been planned for to reflect timeline and relevant methods used include a variety of techniques to create and present resource package. [4] [5]	
ORAL PRESENTATION (20 marks)				
CRITERIA	Approaching Expectation	Meeting Expectation	Exceeding Expectation	Mks
Speech Delivery	Speech is not very clear or engaging. [1]	Speech is clear and fairly engaging. [2] [3]	Speech is very clear and engaging. [4] [5]	
Audience Awareness & Confidence	Presenters lack confidence, read from script and are not able to engage the audience. [1]	Presenters are confident and able to engage the audience to some extent. [2] [3]	Presenters are very confident and able to engage the audience well. [4] [5]	
Coherence & Organisation	Data and content are not well-organised. [1]	Data and content are well-organised. [2] [3]	Data and content are very well-organised. [4] [5]	
Response to Questions	Questions are not answered with sufficient clarification and group appears defensive. [1]	Questions are answered with some relevant, logical and convincing clarification. [2] [3]	Questions are well-answered with relevant, logical and convincing clarification. [[4] [5]	
			Total score	/60

Projects with averaged marks ≥ 30 will proceed to the finals.